Appl. No.: 09/496,588

Reply dated November 8, 2004

Reply to Office Action of August 6, 2004

Page 2 of 5

## REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's thorough consideration provided in the present application. Claims 1-16 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11 and 15 are independent. No claims have been amended by way of this response. Reconsideration of the present application is earnestly solicited.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter. Specifically, the subject matter of claims 3-8 and 11-16 has been indicated as allowed.

However, as discussed in greater detail hereinafter, Applicants submit that the remaining claims of the present application should also be allowed and the present application should be permitted to issue.

## **Drawings**

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication of acceptance of the formal drawings filed on February 2, 2000. Accordingly, Applicants are not required to take any further action with respect to the drawings.

N

Appl. No.: 09/496,588

Reply dated November 8, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 6, 2004

Page 3 of 5

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants appreciate receipt of the initialed copy of the Information

Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on April 24, 2000.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1 and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being

anticipated by Miyano (U.S. Patent No. 6,727,942). This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

V

Without conceding the propriety of the Examiner's rejections, but merely

to expedite the prosecution of the present application, Applicants have

perfected their claim for priority to Japanese Patent Document No. 11-025523

(filed on February 2, 1999). Accordingly, Applicants submit that this rejection

has been rendered moot.

Specifically, the Miyano reference has a U.S. filing date of September 7,

1999 and an issue date of April 27, 2004. The present application was filed on

February 2, 2000 and properly claims priority to Japanese Patent Application

No. 11-025523 (filed in Japan on February 2, 1999) under 35 U.S.C. § 119. A

verified English translation of this priority document is provided as an

attachment to this response. Therefore, Applicants submit that all of the claim

Appl. No.: 09/496,588

Reply dated November 8, 2004

Reply to Office Action of August 6, 2004

Page 4 of 5

rejections based upon the Miyano reference have been overcome. Accordingly,

this rejection should be withdrawn.

Ú.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 2 and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

anticipated by Miyano in view of Tsujimoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,932). This

rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed in greater detail hereinabove, Applicants submit that the

Miyano reference has been overcome by Applicants perfection of their claim for

priority. Accordingly, all of the rejections based upon the Miyano reference

should be withdrawn and the present application should be permitted to issue.

**CONCLUSION** 

Since the remaining references cited by the Examiner have not been

utilized to reject the claims, but merely to show the state-of- the-art, no further

comments are deemed necessary with respect thereto.

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or

rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner

reconsider all presently pending rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Appl. No.: 09/496,588

Reply dated November 8, 2004

Reply to Office Action of August 6, 2004

Page 5 of 5

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

Office Action, and that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send

the application to Issue.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the

Examiner is invited to contact Matthew T. Shanley, Registration No. 47,074 at

(703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent,

and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit

Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or

1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Marc S. Weiner

Reg. No. 32,181

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attachment:

English translation of priority document and Declaration

Accompanying the same